

| 1 | and there is no document #175-1. (Doc. #278). In response, defendants note that "[d]ockets #175-1 |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | and #175-2 are identical and appear to have at some point been relabeled." (Doc. #279). Defendants |
| 3 | attached a copy of docket #175-1 to their response to plaintiff's motion for order. (Doc. #279, Ex. |
| 4 | 1). After defendants filed their opposition attaching a copy of docket #175-1, plaintiff filed an |
| 5 | opposition to defendant's motion for summary judgment on April 25, 2012. (Doc. #280). |
| 6 | Accordingly, |
| 7 | IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that pro se plaintiff Christopher |
| 8 | A. Jones' motion to exceed the page limit (doc. #281) be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED. |
| 9 | IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for order (doc. #278) be, and the same |
| 10 | hereby is, DENIED as moot. |
| 11 | DATED April 27, 2012. |
| 12 | |
| 13 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 28 | |
| James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge | - 2 - |

Case 3:18-cv-00033-MMD-WGC Document 283 Filed 04/27/12 Page 2 of 2